

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,505	02/16/2006	Yuichi Matsuo	10842100127	1167
4372 7559 68222998 ARENT FOX LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CAM N	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent Mail@arentfox.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/568,505 MATSUO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Cam N. Nguven 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/29/08 (an amendment/response & TDs). 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3-5 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on originally filed is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 The amendment filed on 04/29/08 has been made of record and entered. Claim 6 has been amended. Claim 2 was previously canceled.

Claims 1 & 3-9 are currently pending in this application.

Status of Withdrawn Claim(s)

Claims 1, 3-5, & 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention(s), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/09/07.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 6-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 1 of claim 6, claim 7, and claim 8, "production method for a purification catalyst" should be changed to recite --method for production of a purification catalyst--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Terminal Disclaimer

4. The terminal disclaimers filed on 04/29/08 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 11/032,233 and US Pat. 7,199,079 B2 have been reviewed and are accepted. The terminal disclaimers have been recorded.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/568,505

Art Unit: 1793

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1960).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January I, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 6-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of *U.S. Patent No.* 7,259,127 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

Both the instant application and the Pat. '127 recite the same process steps of how to prepare the purification catalyst. Since the process steps are the same, it is only reasonable to conclude that it is inherent that the catalyst produced by the process of the Pat. '127 would have the same catalytic structure and formula as being required by the instant claim 6. Thus, there is no patentable distinction between the claimed method and that disclosed by the Pat. '127.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,505 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (Second Paragraph)

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 6, the claim broadly recites "adding the at least one compound to an aqueous nitrate solution", but it does not particularly point out what metal? or compound? of the "aqueous nitrate solution" being referred to in the claim. Thus, renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

 Applicants' remarks filed on 04/29/08 have been fully considered, but not deemed persuasive in view of the new ground of rejection(s) and/or objection(s) above.

Citations

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All references are cited for related art. See PTO-892 Form prepared.

Conclusion

 Claims 1 & 3-9 are pending. Claims 6-8 are rejected. Claims 1, 3-5, & 9 remain withdrawn due to nonelected (distinct) invention(s). No claims are allowed. Application/Control Number: 10/568,505 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Contacts

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner CAM N. NGUYEN, whose telephone number

is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM, at

alternative work site.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cam N. Nguyen/

Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1793

/C. N. N./

August 18, 2008